



Amandeep Singh Gill
S/o Sh. Nirmal Singh Gill,
Street No. 15, Peeru Banda,
Salem Tibri, Ludhiana-141008(Punjab)

...Complainant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development and
Panchayat Officer,
Block Ghal Khurd,
Distt. Ferozepur(Punjab)

Public Information Officer,
O/o Village Development Officer (V.D.O.),
O/o Gram Panchayat,
Khuh-Chah-Parsia, Block Ghall Khurd,
Distt. Ferozepur(Punjab)

... Respondents

Complaint Case No. 450 of 2019

Present : Sh. Amandeep Singh Gill, the complainant in person.
Sh. Milkha Singh, Computer Operator, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

This case was last heard on 05.02.2020 and the appellant stated that no information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO. None of the PIOs came present from offices of B.D.P.O., Block Ghal Khurd, Distt. Ferozepur and PIO office of Village Development Officer, Gram Panchayat, Khuh-Chah-Parsia, Block Ghall Khurd, Distt. Ferozepur, on that day, moreover, the required information was also not supplied by the respondent PIOs to the information seeker. Accordingly, **a show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act was issued to ; i)** Public Information Officer office of B.D.P.O., Block Ghal Khurd, Distt. Ferozepur ; **and ii)** Public Information Officer office of Village Development Officer, Gram Panchayat, Khuh-Chah-Parsia, Block Ghall Khurd, Distt. Ferozepur.

The complainant, Sh. Amandeep Singh Gill, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that no information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO so far.

Sh. Milkha Singh, Computer Operator, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, seeks an adjournment in this case.

As none of the PIOs came present personally from offices of B.D.P.O., Block Ghal Khurd, Distt. Ferozepur and PIO office of Village Development Officer, Gram Panchayat, Khuh-Chah-Parsia, Block Ghall Khurd, Distt. Ferozepur, in today's hearing as directed vide orders dated 05.02.2020. They have also not sent any intimation regarding their non-availability and also not sent any document showing that the required information has been

supplied to the information seeker, which reflects that they are trying to delay in supplying the required information to the information-seeker. The Commission took a serious view of this casual approach of the respondent PIOs concerned.

For making delay in supplying the requisite information to the information-seeker under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, a penalty of Rs. 5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand Only) is imposed upon each i) Public Information Officer concerned of office of B.D.P.O., Block Ghal Khurd, Distt. Ferozepur and ii) Public Information Officer concerned of office of Village Development Officer, Gram Panchayat, Khuh-Chah-Parsia, Block Ghall Khurd, Distt. Ferozepur.

A copy of this order be sent to them through registered post.

The amount of penalty will be deposited in the treasury of Punjab Government

under the head.....

Major Head .. 0070 -Other Administrative Services
Sub-Major Head .. 60 - Other Services
Minor Head .. 800 - Other Receipts
Sub-Head .. 86 - Fee under the Right to Information Act, 2005
Detailed Head .. 0070 Other Administrative Services
60 Other Services- 800- Other Receipts-
86- Fees under the Right to Information Act, 2005

And a copy of challan receipt be sent to the Commission by the next date of hearing.

Last opportunity is given to the respondent-PIOs concerned to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant, as per queries (whichever relates to his/her office) raised by him through his RTI request, through registered post before the next date of hearing and send a copy of the postal receipt, (through which information would be sent to the Appellant) on the next date of hearing. They are also directed to appear in person on the date of hearing fixed through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'

A copy of this order be sent to District Development and Panchayat Officer, Ferozepur through registered post with the advice to ensure **presence of** i) Public Information Officer concerned of office of B.D.P.O., Block Ghal Khurd, Distt. Ferozepur and ii) Public Information Officer concerned of office of Village Development Officer, Gram Panchayat, Khuh-Chah-Parsia, Block Ghall Khurd, Distt. Ferozepur on the next date of hearing, fixed through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings' and also to ensure the compliance of the above-said order.

The case is **adjourned to 16th September, 2020 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date :7th July, 2020

(Regd. Post)

The District Development and
Panchayat Officer,
Ferozepur (Punjab)

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Piara Singh
S/o Sh. Butta Singh,
Khahnuwal Road,
Near SD College, Tower Wali Gali,
Gurdaspur(Punjab)

...Complainant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Executive Officer,
Nagar Council,
Gurdaspur(Punjab)

... Respondent

Complaint Case No. 497 of 2019

Present : Sh. Piara Singh, the complainant in person.
Sh. Harjit Singh, RTI Clerk, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

This case was last heard on 05.02.2020 and Sh. Satish Kumar, Junior Assistant and Sh. Harjit Singh, Clerk, appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO and submitted a reply vide letter no. 29 dated 03.01.2020, showing that the requisite information was supplied to the complainant vide letter no. 3411 dated 10.12.2019 and also handed over a copy of the same to the complainant during the hearing on that day. After going through the information supplied, the complainant, pointed out that no Khasra number is mentioned on the map given to him during the hearing. Accordingly, the respondent-PIO concerned was directed to file a point wise reply to the query, raised by the complainant through his RTI request.

Sh. Harjit Singh, RTI Clerk, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that the information regarding Khasra number, relates with Revenue Department.

After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the respondent PIO through a reply vide letter no. 1729 dated 30.06.2020 signed by Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Gurdaspur, which has been received in the Commission which has been received in the Commission vide Diary No. 7810 dated 06.07.2020, has intimated the Commission that the information regarding Khasra number, relates with Revenue Department. It, alongwith a copy of postal receipt, is taken on record.

The complainant, Sh. Piara Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that the information regarding Khasra number relates with office of Nagar Council, Gurdaspur itself not Revenue Department.

Last opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to send a reply to the query regarding Khasra number, raised by the complainant during the hearing, to him by registered post by or on the next date of hearing positively with a copy to the Commission alongwith copy of the postal receipt, failing which action would be initiated against him under the provisions of the RTI Act.

The case is **adjourned to 16th September, 2020 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'**.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date :7th July, 2020

**(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab**

Gurdial Singh Saini,
S-11/215, GENTLE Colony,
Behind S. D. College,
Dhaki,
Pathankot (Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Executive Officer,
Improvement Trust,
Pathankot(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Executive Officer,
Improvement Trust,
Pathankot(Punjab)

... Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2182 of 2019

Present : Sh. Pawan Kumar on behalf of the appellant in person.
Sh. Paramjot Singh, Asstt. Trust Engineer, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

This case was last heard on 10.02.2020 and Sh. Bharatendu Sharma, Legal Advisor, appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, submitted a copy of reply vide letter no. 4293 dated 31.01.2020, showing that in response to the RTI request, the requisite information has been supplied to the appellant, Sh. Gurdial Singh Saini. He also submits a reply dated 10.02.2020 in an affidavit, signed by Public Information Officer-cum-Executive Officer, Improvement Trust, Pathankot and handed over the affidavit in original to representative of the appellant during the hearing in the Commission. Sh. Pawan Kumar, who appeared on behalf of the appellant, stated that the reply given now and reply given earlier by the respondent is contradictory. Accordingly, an opportunity was given to the appellant to express his grievance or grievances through a written submission to the PIO through an e-mail with a copy to the Commission at the earliest so that the same could be counter-replied by the respondent PIO concerned. The respondent PIO was directed to file a counter-reply to the written-submission, which would be sent by the appellant with a copy to the Commission.

Sh. Paramjot Singh, Asstt. Trust Engineer, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that a point-wise reply has already been sent to the appellant, Sh. Gurdial Singh Saini through registered post.

After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the respondent PIO through a reply vide letter no. 92 dated 30.06.2020 signed by Trust Engineer, Improvement Trust, Pathankot, which has been received in the Commission vide Diary No. 7809 dated 06.07.2020, has intimated the Commission that a point-wise reply has already been sent to the appellant, Sh. Gurdial Singh Saini through registered post. It, alongwith a copy of postal receipt, is taken on record.

Sh. Pawan Kumar, who appeared on behalf of the appellant, Sh. Gurdial Singh Saini, in today's hearing, states that no such reply has been received by the appellant till date.

The respondent PIO is directed to send a copy of the reply dated 30.06.2020 to the appellant through registered post at his residential address.

The appellant is advised to confirm whether he has received the above-mentioned reply or not. He is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the reply, which would be received by the appellant, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned by the next date of hearing.

The case is **adjourned to 16th September, 2020 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'**.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date :7th July, 2020

**(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab**

Sanjeev Goyal
S/o Sh. Ashok Kumar,
H. No. 148, Model Town,
Phase – 1,
Bathinda - 151001 (Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The General Manager,
Pepsu Road Transport Corporation,
Bathinda (Punjab)

... Respondent

Complaint Case No. 27 of 2020

Present : Sh. Sanjeev Goyal, the complainant in person.
Sh. Rohit Bansal, Clerk, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

This case was earlier fixed for hearing for 25.03.2020 (was postponed)
and fixed for hearing for today.

The RTI request is dated 15.02.2019. The complaint has been received in
the Commission on 30.12.2019.

Sh. Rohit Bansal, Clerk, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO
in today's hearing, states that in response to the RTI request, the requisite information
has already been supplied to the complainant, Sh. Sanjeev Goyal.

The complainant, Sh. Sanjeev Goyal, who appeared in person in today's
hearing, states that incomplete information has been supplied to him by the respondent
PIO so far.

An opportunity is given to the complainant to point out deficiencies, if
any, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed
by the respondent concerned by the next date of hearing.

An opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to send a reply,
after removing the deficiencies, which would be pointed out by the complainant, to him
by registered post before the next date of hearing with a copy to the Commission. He is
also directed to send a copy of the postal receipt, through which reply would be sent to
the complainant by the next date of hearing.

The case is **adjourned to 16th September, 2020 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.**
for hearing through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date :7th July, 2020

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab



Manjit Singh
S/o Sh. Lacchman Singh,
Village – Bhojia,
Tehsil & Distt. – Tarn Taran (Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Tehsildar,
Tarn Taran (Punjab)

... Respondent

Complaint Case No. 112 of 2020

Present : Sh. Manjit Singh, the complainant in person.
None on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

This case was earlier fixed for hearing for 27.04.2020 (was postponed) and fixed for hearing for today.

The RTI request is dated 05.09.2019. The complaint has been received in the Commission on 24.01.2020.

The complainant, Sh. Manjit Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that no information has been received by him from the respondent PIO till date.

After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the respondent PIO through a reply vide letter no. 04 dated 06.07.2020 signed by Tehsildar Tarn Taran, which has been received in the Commission through an e-mail, has intimated the Commission that the requisite information has been sent to the complainant, Sh. Manjit Singh through registered post on 27.06.2020. It, alongwith a copy of postal receipt, is taken on record.

An opportunity is given to the complainant, to confirm whether he has received the information or not and also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned by the next date of hearing.

The respondent PIO concerned is also directed to represent this case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing, fixed through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings' positively so that facts of this case could be cleared.

The case is **adjourned to 16th September, 2020 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'**.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date :7th July, 2020

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Rakesh Kumar
S/o Sh. Tunda Ram,
R/o H. No.1030-A,
Sarian Mohalla,
Teh. and Distt - Pathankot(Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Director General of Police
– cum- Commandant General,
Punjab Home Guards and Director,
Civil Defence Punjab,
Sector-17, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Director General of Police
– cum- Commandant General,
Punjab Home Guards and Director,
Civil Defence Punjab,
Sector-17, Chandigarh

... Respondents

Appeal Case No. 65 of 2020

Present : Sh. Rakesh Kumar, the appellant in person.
Sh. Hargopal Sadana, Junior Staff Officer, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

This case was earlier fixed for hearing for 18.03.2020 and postponed for 20.05.2020 (further postponed) and fixed for hearing for today.

The RTI request is dated 15.07.2019. First appeal is dated 11.09.2019.
Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 14.12.2019.

The appellant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that no information has been received by him from the respondent PIO till date

Sh. Hargopal Sadana, Junior Staff Officer, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that the information sought for by the appellant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar through his RTI request is 'third party' information and consent of the concerned 'third party' had been asked for and he has refused to supply any kind of information to anyone.

After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the respondent PIO through a reply vide letter no. 1857 dated 13.02.2020 signed by State Public Information Officer of Punjab Home Guards and Civil Defence Department, which has been received in the Commission vide Diary No. 3037 dated 14.02.2020, has intimated the Commission that the information sought for by the appellant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar through his RTI request is 'third party' information and consent of the concerned 'third party' had been asked for, who has refused to supply any kind of information to anyone and the appellant has been duly informed about this fact vide letter dated 13.02.2020. It, alongwith annexure, is taken on record.

After hearing the parties concerned and examining the documents/RTI request placed in the case-file, it emerges that the information sought for by the appellant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar through his RTI request is not 'third party' information as it is regarding the recruitment of post of 'constable' done in the year 2017 in the department with list of candidates with copy of advertisement etc.

Accordingly, the respondent-PIO concerned is directed to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant, as per queries raised by him through his RTI request, through registered post before the next date of hearing and send a copy of the postal receipt, (through which information would be sent to the appellant) on the next date of hearing.

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information (which would be supplied by respondent PIO) or not. He is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned by the next date of hearing.

The case is **adjourned to 16th September, 2020 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date :7th July, 2020

**(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab**

Satnam Singh Dhawan
S/o Sh. Gurdarshan Singh Dhawan,
House no. 7,
G.K. Vihar, Manakwal,
Ludhiana(Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
Sector 17, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
Sector 17, Chandigarh

... Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1608 of 2019

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.
Sh. Gurpal Singh, APIO, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

This case was last heard on 27.01.2020 and as none of the parties were present on that day, another opportunity was given to both the parties to represent this case in person or through their representative, on the fixed date of hearing.

Sh. Gurpal Singh, APIO, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that in response to the RTI request, the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant, Sh. Satnam Singh Dhawan.

After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the appellant, Sh. Satnam Singh Dhawan through a letter dated 05.07.2020, which has been received in the Commission through an e-mail, has intimated the Commission that incomplete and incorrect information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO.

The respondent PIO is directed to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant as per queries raised by him in his RTI request through registered post at his residential address.

The appellant is advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information, which would be received by him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time or it would be assumed that the appellant has received the required information and is satisfied with the information supplied to him by the respondent PIO and the case would be decided on merits.

The case is **adjourned to 16th September, 2020 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'**.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date :7th July, 2020

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Satnam Singh Dhawan
S/o Sh. Gurdarshan Singh Dhawan,
House no. 7, G.K. Vihar, Manakwal,
Ludhiana(Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Ludhiana (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The State Transport Commissioner,
Sector 17, Chandigarh

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1953 of 2019

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.
None on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

This case was last heard on 10.02.2020 and the respondent PIO concerned was directed to facilitate the appellant to inspect the relevant official record on 05.03.2020 at 11:00 A. M. so that the appellant could identify the required information with the directions to supply the required information, which would be identified by the appellant after inspection, to him. An opportunity was also given to the appellant to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information (which would be supplied to him after inspection), in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned.

After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the respondent PIO through a reply dated 29.06.2020 signed by Public Information Officer-cum-Assistant Transport Officer/Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana, which has been received in the Commission vide through an e-mail, has intimated the Commission that the requisite information has already been sent to the appellant, Sh. Satnam Singh Dhawan through an e-mail. It, alongwith a copy of information of 38 pages are taken on record.

After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the appellant, Sh. Satnam Singh Dhawan through a letter dated 29.06.2020, which has been received in the Commission through an e-mail, has intimated the Commission that he has received the requisite information and asked for filing of his case. It is taken on record.

Since the information stands supplied, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date :7th July, 2020

**(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab**

Jaspal Singh S/o Sh. Surjit Singh,
House No. 157, Street No. 8-A,
Manjit Nagar, Bhadson Road,
Patiala(Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Managing Director,
Pepsu Road Transport Corporation (P.R.T.C.),
Nabha Road, Patiala (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Managing Director,
Pepsu Road Transport Corporation (P.R.T.C.),
Nabha Road, Patiala (Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2611 of 2019

Present : Sh. Jaspal Singh, the appellant in person.
i) Sh. Amanveer Singh Tiwana, PIO-cum-Traffic Manager, in person ;
ii) Sh. Narender Kumar, Senior Assistant, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

This case was last heard on 05.02.2020 and Sh. Surinder Singh, General Manager(Admin.), who appeared with Sh. Narender Kumar, Senior Assistant, handed over part information to the appellant during the hearing in the Commission and intimated the Commission that part information demanded by the appellant through request is not specific. Accordingly, another opportunity was given to the respondent PIO to facilitate the appellant to inspect the relevant official record on 11.02.2020 at 11:00 A. M. so that the appellant could specifically identify the required information. The respondent-PIO concerned was directed to supply the required information, which would be identified by the appellant after inspection, to him.

Sh. Amanveer Singh Tiwana, PIO-cum-Traffic Manager, who appeared in person with Sh. Narender Kumar, Senior Assistant, in today's hearing, states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant, Sh. Jaspal Singh after collecting the same from concerned branches, offices/Depots.

The appellant, Sh. Jaspal Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that he has visited the office of the respondent PIO and identified the required information but the information, which has been provided to him is not the information he has identified through inspection.

The respondent PIO concerned is directed to facilitate the appellant to inspect the relevant official record of Head Office on 14.07.2020 at 11:00 A. M. and to inspect the relevant official record of various Depots on 21.07.2020, 28.07.2020 and 04.08.2020 at 11:00 A. M. respectively so that the appellant could identify the information. The appellant is also advised to avail the opportunity to inspect the relevant official record on the above-mentioned dates to identify the information.

Both the parties mutually agreed to it during the hearing today.

Sh. Amanveer Singh Tiwana, PIO-cum-Traffic Manager, would remain present on the above-mentioned dates during the inspection of the relevant official record.

The respondent-PIO concerned is directed to supply the required information, which would be identified by the appellant after inspection to him, within four days after the inspection of the relevant official record would be done.

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned by the next date of hearing

The case is **adjourned to 16th September, 2020 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'**.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date :7th July, 2020

**(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab**

Jaspal Singh
S/o Sh. Surjit Singh,
House No. 157, Street No. 8-A,
Manjit Nagar, Bhadson Road,
Patiala(Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Managing Director,
Pepsu Road Transport Corporation (P.R.T.C.),
Nabha Road, Patiala (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Managing Director,
Pepsu Road Transport Corporation (P.R.T.C.),
Nabha Road, Patiala (Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2612 of 2019

Present : Sh. Jaspal Singh, the appellant in person.
i) Sh. Amanveer Singh Tiwana, PIO-cum-Traffic Manager, in person ;
ii) Sh. Narender Kumar, Senior Assistant, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

This case was last heard on 05.02.2020 and Sh. Surinder Singh, General Manager(Admin.), who appeared with Sh. Narender Kumar, Senior Assistant, handed over part information to the appellant during the hearing in the Commission and intimated the Commission that part information demanded by the appellant through request is not specific. Accordingly, another opportunity was given to the respondent PIO to facilitate the appellant to inspect the relevant official record on 11.02.2020 at 11:00 A. M. so that the appellant could specifically identify the required information. The respondent-PIO concerned was directed to supply the required information, which would be identified by the appellant after inspection, to him.

Sh. Amanveer Singh Tiwana, PIO-cum-Traffic Manager, who appeared in person with Sh. Narender Kumar, Senior Assistant, in today's hearing, states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant, Sh. Jaspal Singh after collecting the same from concerned branches, offices/Depots.

The appellant, Sh. Jaspal Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that he has visited the office of the respondent PIO and identified the required information but the information, which has been provided to him is not the information he has identified through inspection.

The respondent PIO concerned is directed to facilitate the appellant to inspect the relevant official record of Head Office on 14.07.2020 at 11:00 A. M. and to inspect the relevant official record of various Depots on 21.07.2020, 28.07.2020 and 04.08.2020 at 11:00 A. M. respectively so that the appellant could identify the information. The appellant is also advised to avail the opportunity to inspect the relevant official record on the above-mentioned dates to identify the information.

Both the parties mutually agreed to it during the hearing today.

Sh. Amanveer Singh Tiwana, PIO-cum-Traffic Manager, would remain present on the above-mentioned dates during the inspection of the relevant official record.

The respondent-PIO concerned is directed to supply the required information, which would be identified by the appellant after inspection to him, within four days after the inspection of the relevant official record would be done.

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned by the next date of hearing

The case is **adjourned to 16th September, 2020 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'**.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date :7th July, 2020

**(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab**